

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : A01N 31/02, 25/04, A61K 7/16, A61L 9/01, A23G 3/00, 3/30, A61C 15/02, 15/04, A24B 15/30 // (A01N 31/02, 31:02)		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/51093 (43) International Publication Date: 14 October 1999 (14.10.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IL99/00171 (22) International Filing Date: 25 March 1999 (25.03.99)		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TI, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TI, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(30) Priority Data: 123965 6 April 1998 (06.04.98) IL		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(71) Applicant (<i>for all designated States except US</i>): INNOSCENT LTD. [IL/IL], Katzir Street 2A, Tel Hashomer, 52656 Ramat-Gan (IL).			
(72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (<i>for US only</i>): ROSENBERG NEVO, Melvyn [IL/IL], Smadar Street 34, 52596 Ramat-Gan (IL).			
(74) Agents: LUZZATTO, Kfir et al.; Luzzatto & Luzzatto, P.O. Box 5352, 84152 Beer-Sheva (IL).			
(54) Title: ORAL ANTIMICROBIAL AND ANTI-ODOR COMPOSITIONS			
(57) Abstract			
<p>The invention is directed to the use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonal, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof and taste-masking additives, as an oral anti-odor preparation. The invention further provides anti-odor toothpaste, mouthwash, candies and other anti-odor preparations for oral use.</p>			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

ORAL ANTIMICROBIAL AND ANTI-ODOR

COMPOSITIONS

5

Field of the Invention

10 The present invention is concerned with compositions containing higher alcohols, particularly those having chain length C9 and greater, and their formulation for use as antimicrobial and anti-odor agents, particularly for oral use.

15 **Background of the Invention**

Bacterial products and activity are implicated in many oral diseases, such as periodontal disease and caries, as well as in the production of unpleasant odors in the mouth. Because of the multitude of bacterial species found in the oral cavity, there is a need for effective non-specific anti-microbial agents, with a broad spectrum of activity. Such agents may be incorporated into a number of different preparations, including: mouthwashes, mouthsprays, toothpastes and other dentifrices, chewing gum, candies and related products.

For many years, short-chain alkyl alcohols such as ethanol and 2-propanol have been used clinically and domestically as surface-active anti-microbial agents. In addition, there have been a few reports that describe inhibitory effects of individual higher alcohols (chain length up to C16) on the growth 5 of certain bacteria (particularly gram positive species), bacterial spores and fungi [see Kato & Shibasaki, *Bokin Bobai* 8: 325 (1980); Gershon & Shanks, *J. Pharm. Sci.* 69: 381 (1980); Yasuda-Yasaki *et al.*, *Spores* 7: 113 (1978)]. In addition, JP 62-230712 (assigned to Nichibai Boeki KK) discloses the use 10 of higher alcohols in the management of dental caries, a condition in which the gram positive bacteria *Streptococcus mutants* is believed to play a major pathogenic role.

The current invention concerns higher alcohols (C8-C14), used singly or in combinations, and presented in a vehicle consisting either of a lower alcohol 15 or a multiphase oil-aqueous preparation, which are highly effective as antimicrobial agents against a very broad spectrum of bacteria and yeasts. The invention also provides the formulation and use of these higher alcohols as anti-odor agents. While the mechanism of the anti-odor effect is not precisely known, it may be related to a shift in microbial populations at the 20 treatment site. It would appear that it is particularly the gram negative resident species of the oral cavity that are implicated in the production of bad breath. The hitherto unreported highly efficient inhibition of these

species by the higher alcohols is thus one of the key features of this invention.

In addition, the present invention also provides a unique two-phase solvent
5 system for the sustained delivery of the anti-odor or anti-microbial alcohols
at their site of action, particularly in the oral cavity.

The higher alcohols have not previously been used as the primary
components of anti-odor products for oral use. This may be, in part, because
10 of their characteristic, strong, citrus-like odors and flavors. In the present
invention, however, it has been surprisingly found that low concentrations
of the higher alcohols have a pleasant flavor and odor. Thus, when used at
low concentrations, the higher alcohols, in addition to their primary
antimicrobial and anti-odor effects, also confer positive organoleptic
15 properties on oral anti-odor agents containing said higher alcohols. In
another aspect of the invention, the abovementioned problematic strong
odors and flavors contributed by the higher alcohols when used at higher
concentrations is overcome by the use of novel combinations of
taste-masking additives.

20

It is a primary purpose of this invention to provide the use of compositions
containing higher alcohols as oral anti-odor preparations.

-4-

It is another purpose of this invention to provide oral anti-odor compositions containing higher alcohols together with taste-masking additives.

It is yet another purpose of this invention to provide the aforementioned
5 oral anti-odor compositions in a variety of forms including mouthwash,
toothpaste and candies.

It is a further purpose of this invention to provide an antimicrobial composition comprising a higher alcohol together with a lower alcohol.

10

It is a further purpose of this invention to provide an antimicrobial composition comprising a higher alcohol together with a multi-phase oil-aqueous vehicle.

15 Other objects and advantages of the invention will become apparent as the description proceeds.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has now been surprisingly found, and this is an object of the invention,
20 that the higher alkyl alcohols are highly effective as oral anti-odor agents, displaying an unexpectedly high level of activity against gram negative bacteria, which have been reported to be the main causative agents in the development of bad breath. This stands in sharp contrast with what has

been disclosed in the prior art, where the higher alcohols are described as possessing antibacterial effects primarily on gram positive organisms.

The invention is primarily directed to the use of a composition comprising a
5 higher alcohol together with taste-masking additives, as an oral anti-odor preparation. Particularly, the invention is directed to the use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof and taste-masking additives, as an oral anti-odor preparation.

10

According to one preferred embodiment of the invention, the higher alcohol is 1-nonanol. According to another preferred embodiment, the higher alcohol used 1-decanol. In another preferred embodiment, the higher alcohol is 1-undecanol.

15

Although any suitable taste-masking additives may be used in conjunction with the above-mentioned higher alcohols, preferred taste-masking additives include nerol, citral and peppermint oil, or mixtures thereof.

20 In another aspect, the invention is directed to an anti-odor toothpaste comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.

According to one preferred embodiment of the invention, the higher alcohol is 1-nonal. According to another preferred embodiment, the higher alcohol used 1-decanol. In another preferred embodiment, the higher
5 alcohol is 1-undecanol.

Although any suitable taste-masking additives may be used in conjunction with the above-mentioned higher alcohols, preferred taste-masking additives include nerol, citral and peppermint oil, or mixtures thereof.

10

In a further aspect, the invention is directed to an anti-odor candy comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonal, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.

15

The above-mentioned anti-odor candy may be in the form of any of the commonly found types of confection. According to one preferred embodiment, the anti-odor candy is a chocolate candy. In another preferred embodiment the anti-odor candy is in the form of a chewable candy. In a
20 further preferred embodiment, the anti-odor candy is in the form of chewing gum.

In some instances, it is desirable to provide the active compositions of the invention in sequestered form, so that they are delivered to the appropriate site in the oral cavity, and at the desired time. Illustrative examples of suitable sequestration methods include, e.g., microencapsulation, dispersion 5 in a solid matrix, etc. Many such methods are known in the art, and will be apparent to the skilled person.

One such example is a dragé-covered chewing gum, which incorporates the active composition of the invention in the gum itself. Typically, the dragé 10 will not comprise the composition of the invention, which will be liberated in to the oral cavity by the chewing action.

In another preferred embodiment, the anti-odor candy of the invention is a slowly dissolving product.

15

While the slowly dissolving candy may take many forms, in a preferred embodiment, it is a pastille. According to another preferred embodiment, the slowly dissolving product is a hard candy.

20 All of the abovementioned anti-odor candies may comprise any of the aforementioned higher alcohols. According to a preferred embodiment, however, the higher alcohol is 1-nonanol. According to another preferred embodiment, the higher alcohol is 1-decanol. In a further preferred

embodiment, the higher alcohol is 1-undecanol. Although each of the above-mentioned anti-odor candies may contain any suitable taste-masking additives, in a preferred embodiment, the taste-masking additives comprise nerol, citral and peppermint oil.

5

In another aspect, the invention is directed to an anti-odor mouthwash comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with taste-masking additives. According to one preferred embodiment of the invention, the higher alcohol 10 is 1-nonanol. According to another preferred embodiment, the higher alcohol used 1-decanol. In another preferred embodiment, the higher alcohol is 1-undecanol.

Although the anti-odor mouthwash may contain any suitable taste-masking 15 additives, preferred taste-masking additives include nerol, citral and peppermint oil, or mixtures thereof.

The invention also encompasses an anti-odor mouthspray comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or 20 mixtures thereof, together with taste-masking additives.

- In a further aspect, the invention is directed to an anti-odor cigarette, comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof.
- 5 The invention further makes provision for a toothpick that is coated or impregnated with a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with taste-masking additives.
- 10 In a further aspect, the invention is directed to a dental floss yarn that is coated or impregnated with a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with taste-masking additives.
- 15 An antimicrobial composition comprising an antimicrobially-effective amount of a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof in a lower alcohol or multi-phase oil-aqueous vehicle.
- 20 While there is no clear-cut definition of a "lower alcohol", alcohols containing up to 4 carbon atoms (C1-C4) are normally termed "lower alcohols", and alcohols containing 8 carbon atoms (C8) or more are regarded as "higher alcohols", and this is the terminology adopted herein.

In another aspect, the invention is directed to the use of a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, as an anti-odor or anti-microbial agent.

5

According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the higher alcohol is incorporated into a two-phase aqueous-oil system. In one aspect of the invention, this two-phase mixture is to be used in a pump-spray for reducing breath odor.

10

In another aspect, the invention is directed to the use of the above-mentioned higher alcohols or their mixtures as wound cleansing agents.

15

The invention also provides the use of these higher alcohols or mixtures thereof, for the removal or prevention of odors. In a preferred embodiment, the invention provides for the removal or prevention of odors, when said odors are present in the oral cavity.

20

In a further aspect, the invention is directed to a synergistic anti-microbial composition comprising a lower alcohol and, as an additive, a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof. Lower alcohols are typically selected from among ethanol,

-11-

methanol and 2-propanol. Preferred synergistic amounts of the higher alcohol are typically – but not limitatively – in the range of about 0.004% to 0.5%.

- 5 In a preferred embodiment, the composition contains ethanol as the lower alcohol.

While the above-mentioned synergistic anti-microbial composition may be provided in many forms, for many intended uses, according to a preferred 10 embodiment of the invention, the composition is an anti-odor oral anti-microbial composition. According to another preferred embodiment, the synergistic anti-microbial composition is a wound-cleansing preparation, wherein the higher alcohol is dissolved in a lower alcohol medium.

- 15 In a further aspect, the invention is directed to a method for killing microorganisms, which comprises bringing the microorganisms to be killed into contact with a composition comprising an antimicrobially-effective amount of a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, dissolved in a lower alcohol medium.
20 Preferably, the concentration of the higher alcohol is about 0.004% to 0.5%.

-12-

According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the lower alcohol is selected from among ethanol, methanol and 2-propanol, or their mixtures. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the lower alcohol is ethanol.

- 5 The invention further encompasses a method for increasing the anti-microbial activity of a lower alcohol, or of a mixture of two or more lower alcohols, comprising adding to said lower alcohol or mixture of lower alcohols, a synergistic amount of a higher alcohol, wherein the higher alcohol is selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or
10 mixtures thereof.

In addition, the invention is further directed to the use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof in a lower alcohol or multi-phase
15 oil-aqueous vehicle, for the manufacture of an antimicrobial composition.

The invention is further directed to the use of a composition comprising a lower alcohol, and as an additive, a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, for the
20 manufacture of a synergistic antimicrobial composition.

The invention also provides the use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures

-13-

thereof for inhibiting or destroying oral gram positive and gram negative bacteria.

- In another aspect, the invention relates to an anti-odor composition adapted
- 5 be brought into contact with the oro-pharynx and posterior portion of the oral cavity, comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.
- 10 The invention further provides an anti-odor paste adapted to be applied to the tongue and to the teeth, comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.
- 15 The invention also provides an anti-odor paste adapted to be supplied to the teeth and to be gargled, comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.
- 20

All the above and other characteristics and advantages of the invention will be further understood from the following illustrative and non-limitative examples of preferred embodiments thereof.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

For purposes of clarity and as an aid in the understanding of the invention, as disclosed and claimed herein, the following terms and abbreviations are defined below:

5

Synergistic amounts – refers to a small percentage of a higher alcohol which substantially improves the antimicrobial activity of the lower alcohol to which it is added.

Additive amounts - indicates amounts not exceeding 5 % of the total volume.

C_n alcohols – indicates alkanols, where *n* is the number of carbon atoms.

Examples

15 The following compositions and preparations were used in the examples given hereinbelow:

1. "Breathanol"

20	nerol	1 part
	citral	1 part
	1-nonanol	1 part
	peppermint oil	2 parts

2. Decarboxylase Medium

peptone	0.5 %
yeast extract	0.3 %
5 dextrose	0.1 %
bromocresol purple 0.07 %	

Example 1**10 Inhibition of *in vitro* odor formation by toothpaste containing****Breathanol**

A series of test tubes were prepared, each containing:

- a) 200 µl of freshly-taken morning saliva;
- b) 10 - 1000 µl of a Breathanol-containing toothpaste that was diluted 1:5
15 with decarboxylase medium (concentration of Breathanol in the toothpaste
before dilution is 1 %), and
- c) decarboxylase medium, to bring the final volume of the mixture up to 5
ml.

The tubes were incubated at 37° C for three days. Following incubation, the
20 odor was determined by a panel of odor judges, using the following
semi-quantitative scale

0 = no odor

-16-

1 = very slight odor

2 = weak but noticeable odor

3 = medium odor

4 = foul odor

5 5 = extremely foul odor

The results (Table I) show that a reduction in odor formation is seen with all dilutions of the Breathanol-containing toothpaste (compared with no-toothpaste control), and that this anti-odor effect is dose related.

10

15

20

-17-

Table I

Volume of diluted toothpaste (μ l)	1-nonanol concentration		ODOR SCORES			
	ppm	% w/w	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Mean
10	0.8	0.00008	3	4	1.25	2.75
25	2	0.0002	2	3	1.5	2.17
50	4	0.0004	2	2.5	0.5	1.67
125	10	0.001	1	1	1	1
250	20	0.002	0.5	1	0	0.5
500	40	0.004	0	1	0.75	0.58
750	60	0.006	0	1	1	0.67
1000	80	0.008	0	0	0.5	0.17
Control	0	0	4	4.5	4	4.17

Example 2

Clinical study of inhibition of odor formation by mouthwash
containing Breathanol

5 The ability of Breathanol to reduce odor was tested in the following clinical
study. Subjects (N = 51; mean age 24.5 years) were recruited from among
those who had previously volunteered for similar studies. Subjects were
remunerated for their time. The criteria for exclusion from the study were:
taking antibiotics within one month prior to the study, smokers, partial or
10 complete denture wearers. Participants were asked to refrain from eating
or drinking 2 hours prior to measurements. Initially, subjects were tested
for malodor-related parameters (as described further). They were then
given the mouthwash (17 ml; active, containing 1 % Breathanol, or placebo),
and were asked to swish and gargle twice for 30 seconds with a one minute
15 interval. They were reexamined 1.5 and 3 hours following use.

Subjects were assessed for oral malodor-related parameters, including (i)
whole mouth odor as measured by three independent judges on a scale of 0 –
5; (ii) tongue dorsum posterior odor using the spoon test; and (iii) volatile
20 sulphide levels using the model 1170 sulphide monitor (Interscan Corp.,
Chatsworth CA).

-19-

All measurements were made prior to rinsing (time zero), and at 1.5 and 3 hours post-rinsing. Results were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and, when necessary, analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) with time zero as covariate.

5

Measurements:

Organoleptic measurements.
Organoleptic measurements were carried out throughout the study by one experienced and two inexperienced judges whose scores have been compared
10 to other judge's scores and measurement techniques in previous studies.

Organoleptic measurements were made, based on the whole mouth exiprate, as well as odor assessment from the posterior of the tongue dorsum. For whole mouth malodor, following a three hour fast, subjects were instructed
15 to exhale briefly through the mouth, at a distance of ca. 10 cm from the nose of the judge. For assessment of the tongue posterior dorsum, a sample was obtained by mild scraping with a plastic spoon. After 5 seconds, the odor judges and the subjects themselves smelled the odor at a distance of ca. 5 cm from the spoon. Results of the two malodor assessments were rated on a
20 semi-integer scale of -5 to 5 as follows:

- 5 extremely pleasant odor
- 4 very pleasant odor
- 3 moderately pleasant odor

-20-

- 2 slight, but noticeable pleasant odor
- 1 barely noticeable pleasant odor
- 0 no appreciable odor
- 1 barely noticeable unpleasant odor
- 5 2 slight, but clearly noticeable unpleasant odor
- 3 moderate unpleasant odor
- 4 strong unpleasant odor
- 5 extremely foul odor

10 Volatile sulphur compounds (VSC)

VSC of intraoral headspace was measured using the Interscan 1170 monitor. Quantitative measurement of volatile sulphides were carried out using the Interscan 1170 monitor (Interscan Corporation, Chatsworth, CA), 1 ppm full-scale deflection. Volunteers were asked to refrain from talking 15 for 5 minutes prior to measurement. The monitor was zeroed on ambient air, and measurement performed by inserting a disposable $\frac{1}{4}$ " plastic straw approximately 4 cm into the oral cavity. The volunteer was asked to breathe through his/her nose during measurement. Results were recorded as peak ppb sulphide equivalents.

-21-

Microbial counts

Unstimulated whole saliva was collected and diluted in saline. Plating was performed on blood agar. Plates were incubated aerobically at 37° C for 24 hours.

5

Statistical evaluations

Comparison between the various rounds of the study was carried out using ANOVA or ANCOVA. Treatment effects were compared using the t-test with the Bonferroni correction.

10 Results and Discussion:

Organoleptic assessments of whole mouth odor made by the three judges are summarized in Table II. In all cases, much larger reductions were observed in the scores of the experimental, as compared with control, subject groups. In the case of the experienced judge, the decrease in the scores of the active 15 mouthwash group was highly significant as compared with placebo scores ($p<0.0005$, ANCOVA).

Similar results were obtained for odor deriving from the back of the tongue, and are shown in Table III.

20

-22-

Table II

	Baseline	90 Minutes	180 Minutes
Experimental group: experienced judge	1.9 +/- 0.9	1.2 +/- 1.07	1.0 +/- 1.23 ^a
Control group: experienced judge	1.68 +/- 1.079	1.64 +/- 0.98	1.692 +/- 0.991
Experimental group: mean for three judges	2.14 /- 0.698	1.660 +/- 0.770	1.540 +/- 0.780
Control group: mean for three judges	1.71 +/- 0.714	1.583 +/- 0.775	1.577 +/- 0.799

^aExperimental group significantly different from control group ($p=0.0308$, t-test)

Table III

	Baseline	90 Minutes	180 Minutes
Experimental group: mean for 3 judges	2.400 +/- 0.707	1.958 +/- 0.834	1.593 +/- 0.649
Control group: mean for 3 judges	2.455 +/- 0.656	2.071 +/- 0.488	1.917 +/- 0.552
Experimental group: self assessment	1.740 +/- 1.347	1.750 +/- 1.445	2.240 +/- 1.091
Control group: self assessment	2.269 +/- 1.505	2.577 +/- 1.172	2.846 +/- 1.384

5 Statistical significance:

Judged results: experimental group significantly different from control group ($p = 0.0135$; t-test);

Self assessment: experimental group significantly different from control group ($p = 0.0304$; t-test).

10

The results of the sulphide assay and of the microbial count measurements are shown in Table IV. The results for the sulphide assay demonstrate that for the experimental group, there was a significant decrease in salivary sulphide content at the 180 minute time point ($p=0.0001$; ANOVA).

15

Similarly, the microbial count on blood agar was significantly reduced by the treatment at the 180 minute time point ($p=0.0493$; ANOVA).

Table IV

Tested Parameter	Baseline	90 minutes	180 minutes
Sulphide levels - Experimental	5.151 +/- 0.234	4.915 +/- 0.095	4.825 +/- 0.087
Sulphide levels - Control	5.060 +/- 0.245	5.043 +/- 0.205	4.971 +/- 0.276
Microbial counts - Experimental	7.001 +/- 0.926	5.996 +/- 0.919	6.804 +/- 1.203
Microbial counts - Control	6.231 +/- 0.827	6.184 +/- 0.825	6.771 +/- 0.873

5

Example 3**Inhibition of *in vitro* odor formation**

A series of test tubes were prepared, each containing 5 ml of decarboxylase medium (0.5 % peptone, 0.3 % yeast extract, 0.1 % dextrose and 0.02 % bromocresol purple). To each tube was added 200 µl of freshly-taken morning saliva, and then 10 - 50 µl of a 1 % solution of a higher alcohol

-25-

dissolved in 70 % ethanol or 100 % ethanol, as indicated. The tubes were incubated at 37° C for three days. Following incubation, the odor was determined by a panel of odor judges, using the following semi-quantitative scale

5

0 = no odor

1 = very slight odor

2 = weak but noticeable odor

3 = medium odor

10 4 = foul odor

5 = extremely foul odor

The higher alcohols tested were alkanols of chain length C8, C9, C10, C11, C12, C14, C16 and C18. The C8 to C12 alcohols were prepared as 1 % solutions in 70 % ethanol, and the C14 to C18 alcohols were prepared as 1 % solutions in 100 % ethanol. Absence of alcoholic additives, 70 % ethanol alone, cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) and chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX) were used as controls for the effect of the higher alcohols.

20 The results show that C9, C10 and C11 all cause inhibition of odor formation. The other alcohols tested are without effect in this model. The results are summarized in Table V below.

-26-

Table V

	Odor Score (Judge 1)	Odor Score (Judge 2)
Control (no inhibitor)	5	5
1% C8 50µl	4	4
1% C8 40µl	4	3
1% C8 30µl	3	3
1% C8 20µl	3	3
1% C8 10µl	4	3
1% C9 50µl	0	0
1% C9 40µl	0	0
1% C9 30µl	0	0
1% C9 20µl	4	4
1% C9 10µl	4	4
1% C10 50µl	0	0
1% C10 40µl	0	0
1% C10 30µl	0	0
1% C10 20µl	0	0
1% C10 10µl	4	4
1% C11 50µl	0	0
1% C11 40µl	0	0
1% C11 30µl	0	0
1% C11 20µl	0	0.5
1% C11 10µl	5	5
1% C12 50µl	2	3.5
1% C12 40µl	2	3
1% C12 30µl	3	3
1% C12 20µl	4	4
1% C12 10µl	5	5
1% C14 50µl	4	4

-27-

Table V (continued)

1% C14 40µl	5	5
1% C14 30µl	5	5
1% C14 20µl	5	5
1% C14 10µl	5	5
1% C16 50µl	4	4
1% C16 40µl	5	5
1% C16 30µl	5	5
1% C16 20µl	5	5
1% C16 10µl	5	5
1% C18 50µl	3.5	4
1% C18 40µl	4	4
1% C18 30µl	5	5
1% C18 20µl	5	5
1% C18 10µl	5	5
70% ethanol 200µl	4	4.5
70% ethanol 100µl	5	4.5
1% CPC 20µl	0	0
1% CPC 10µl	2	2.5
1% CPC 5µl	4	4
1% CHX 40µl	0	0
1% CHX 30µl	0	0
1% CHX 20µl	0	0
1% CHX 10µl	2	1
1% CHX 5µl	4	4.5

Example 4In vitro specific antibacterial activity

5 The higher alcohols were tested for antimicrobial activity against Gram positive bacteria (*Streptococcus mutans*), Gram negative bacteria (*Pseudomonas* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *E. faecalis*) and the yeast *Candida albicans*. C8, C9, C10, C11 and C12 alcohols were prepared as 1 %, 0.1 % and 0.05 % (C9 only) solutions in 70 % ethanol. The C14, C16 and C18
10 alcohols were prepared as 1 % solutions in 100 % ethanol.

Five microliters of the higher alcohol solutions were placed on the surface of a rich (Brain-heart infusion) agar plate immediately after seeding with a mono-specific bacterial or yeast culture. The plates were incubated for 24 -
15 48 hours, and, following further microbial proliferation, the diameters of the zones of growth inhibition were measured (results expressed in centimeters).

20 The C9, C10, C11, C12 and C14 alcohols all inhibit microbial growth, the C9 alcohol having the widest spectrum of activity. The C16 and C18 alcohols cause moderate inhibition of *E. coli* (but not of *S. mutans*). C8 causes only partial inhibition of the yeast *C. albicans*, as evidenced by an opaque inhibition zone on the agar plate. These results are summarized in Table VI.

Table VI

5

	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>S. mutans</i>
1% C8	0.5	0.7 + ^a	0.4+-	+-	-
1% C9	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9
1% C10	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8+-	0.8+-
1% C11	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.9+-	0.7+-
1% C12	+-	0.8+-	0.6+-	0.7	0.6+-
1% C14	n.d. ^b	n.d.	0.9	n.d.	1.0
1% C16	n.d.	n.d.	0.7	n.d.	+-
1% C18	n.d.	n.d.	0.8	n.d.	+-
0.1% C8	n.d.	n.d.	0.5+-	-	n.d.
0.1% C9	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.9
0.1% C10	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	n.d.
0.1% C11	0.4	0.7	0.7	1.0	n.d.
0.1% C12	+-	0.6	0.6	1.0+	n.d.
0.05% C9	0.7	0.9+-	n.d.	n.d.	0.7+-
100% Ethanol	n.d.	n.d.	1.0	n.d.	+-
70% Ethanol	+-	n.d.	0.4+-	-	-

^aNot determined^bPartial inhibition (opaque inhibition zone)

- In a further set of experiments, the effect of solvent type on the antimicrobial activity of the C9 alcohol was investigated. The following solvents were used to prepare 1% solutions of the C9 alcohol: water, 100% methanol, 100% glycerol, 100% 2-propanol, 70% ethanol. These solutions were tested for antimicrobial activity towards three microorganisms (*M. lysodeikticus*, *S. mutans* and *Corynebacterium xerosis*) using the same assay as described above.
- 5 The results (summarized in Table VII) show that when dissolved in water, C9 is almost devoid of activity. The solutions made in the lower alcohols, however, are highly active.
- 10 The results (summarized in Table VII) show that when dissolved in water, C9 is almost devoid of activity. The solutions made in the lower alcohols, however, are highly active.

15

Table VII

	<i>M. lysodeikticus</i>	<i>S. mutans</i>	<i>C. xerosis</i>
1% C9 in water	-	-	0.4+-
1% C9 in 100% methanol	0.9	0.9	0.4
100% methanol	0.5+-	0.6+-	0.7
1% C9 in glycerol	+-	-	0.4+-
100% glycerol	-	-	0.2
1% C9 in 2-propanol	1.3	1.3	1.0
2-propanol	1.1	0.9	0.8
1% C9 in 70% ethanol	0.7	0.8	0.9
70% ethanol	+-	-	0.5+-

The relationship of the concentration of the C9 alcohol to its antimicrobial activity was also investigated. A series of solutions of the C9 alcohol was prepared in 70 % ethanol, with concentrations ranging from 0% (ethanol control) to 10%. These solutions were tested in the assay described above for their ability to inhibit the growth of the bacteria *M. lysodeikticus* and *S. mutans*.

The results are summarized in Table VIII, below. The antimicrobial activity of the C9 alcohol demonstrates only a weak concentration dependency. Over the range of concentrations tested, the greatest antibacterial activity was seen with C9 alcohol concentrations of 3% and greater. However, concentrations as low as 0.1 - 0.2 % are still highly active, causing more than 75 % of the maximal effect.

15

20

25

-32-

Table VIII

Higher Alcohol Concentration	<i>M. lysodeikticus</i>	<i>S. mutans</i>
10% C9	0.9	1.0
5% C9	0.9	0.9
4% C9	0.9	1.0
3% C9	0.9	0.9
2% C9	0.9	0.8
1% C9	0.7	0.7
0.5% C9	0.7	0.9
0.3% C9	0.7	0.8
0.2% C9	0.9	0.9+-
0.1% C9	0.7	0.8+-
0% C9	-	-

5

10

15

Example 5Retentiveness of 1-nonanol in the oral cavity

1-nonanol was incorporated into a 2-phase (oil:aqueous) formulation having the following composition:

5	sorbitol	20 %
	sodium saccharin	0.05%
	sodium benzoate	0.1%
	sorbic acid	0.1%
	vegetable oil	15%
10	Breathanol	0.5%
	water	64.25%

About 400 microliters of the above composition was introduced into the mouth of a volunteer subject, using a pump spray. The composition was deposited at two sites: close to the tongue and at the entrance to the oro-pharynx. At regular intervals, the subject reported whether he could still detect the characteristic flavor of 1-nonanol. This flavor was still detected more than half-hour following administration of the spray. This indicates that 1-nonanol exhibits a high degree of retentiveness in the oral cavity, and thus is suitable for use on oral anti-odor and antimicrobial applications. The preparation was also deemed to be an effective anti-odor agent.

FormulationsFormulation 1Toothpaste I

5

% (w/w)MIX A:

Sodium alginate	1
Calcium carbonate	38
10 Aerosil 2000 silica (Degusse)	1.6
Glycerin (86 %)	25
Mineral oil DAB 10	0.5
15 Sodium saccharin (Bayer)	0.10
15 Sodium monofluorophosphate (Phoskadent NA 211, Benckiser)	0.76
1 % Breathanol™	0.02 – 2.5

MIX B:

20 Sodium lauryl sulfate	1.5
Nipagin M preservative (Nipa)	0.1
Water	to 100 %

Preparation:

1. The sodium alginate is added to the glycerin and allowed to swell.
2. The sodium lauryl sulfate is dissolved in approximately 5 parts water.
- 5 3. All the remaining ingredients are added.
4. The preparation is homogenized under vacuum.

To fully exploit the invention, the toothpaste should be brought into contact
with the posterior region of the mouth by brushing the tongue, as well as
10 with the teeth and gingivae, or alternatively by gargling.

Formulation 2Toothpaste II

15

		<u>% (w/w)</u>
	Keitrol (Kelco) Xanthan gum	0.8
	Glycerin (86 %)	25
	Sorbitol (70 %)	15
20	Sident 12DS Silica (Degusse)	21
	Syloblanc 34 Silica (Grece)	1
	Titanium dioxide	1
	Sodium fluoride	0.22

-36-

Sodium saccharin	0.1
Breathanol™ 1%	0.02 – 2.5
Sodium Lauryl sulfate	2
Preservative	0.1
5 Water	to 100 %

Preparation:

1. Swell the xanthan gum in the sorbitol and glycerin.
- 10 2. Add all other ingredients
3. Homogenize under vacuum.

To fully exploit the invention, the toothpaste should be brought into contact
with the posterior region of the mouth by brushing the tongue, as well as
15 with the teeth and gingivae, or alternatively by gargling.

Formulation 3

Sugarless chewing gum

20

% (w/w)

Gum base (Jagum T)	30
Sorbitol (70 %)	14

-37-

	Glycerin	1
	Sorbit powder	40
	Palatinit	9.8
	Mannitol	3
5	Xylitol	2
	Aspartame	0.1
	Acesulfam K	0.1
	Breathanol™ 1%	0.02 – 2.5

10

Formulation 4

Hard candy

15		<u>% (w/w)</u>
	Saccharose	57
	Glucose syrup	29
	Breathanol™ 1%	0.02 – 2.5
	Water	to 100 %

20

Preparation:

1. Dissolve the saccharose in the water at 110° C.
2. Add the glucose syrup and heat to 140° C.

-38-

3. Add the Breathanol™ and citric acid.

4. Pour into moulds at 130 – 135° C.

5

Formulation 5

Soft candy

		<u>% (w/w)</u>
10	Sucrose/refined batch I	35
	Spray-sour whey powder	1.1
	Water	10.5
15	Glucose syrup (38-40 DE)	42
	Hard fat D 700 S (SP 34-36° C)	4.0
	Lecithin	0.1
20	Fudge mass	5.8
	Gelatin (e.g., 230 Bloom)	0.3
	Water (for swelling the gelatin)	1.1
	Breathanol™ 1%	0.02 – 2.5
25	Water	to 100 %

Preparation:

1. Dissolve Sucrose and Whey powder in water and boil until clear
2. At about 115°C add glucose syrup and hard fat plus Lecithin and mix
- 5 well
3. Boil the mass at 122°C.
4. Cool to about 90°C and then add fudge and well dissolved gelatin (at temperatures of more than 90°C the gelatin may be damaged)
- 10 Disperse the breathanol well into the mass.
5. Cool the mass on a precooled cooling table, allow equilibration of temperature, and then stretch until the desired consistency is reached, shape into desired form.

15

Formulation 6**Two-phase mouth-spray / mouthwash**

		<u>% (w/w)</u>
20	70 % sorbitol	10
	Sodium benzoate	0.1
	Sodium saccharine	0.05
	Breathanol	0.02 – 2.5

-40-

Mint oil	0.2
Vegetable oil	15.0
Water	to 100 %

- 5 To fully exploit the invention, the mouthwash should be gargled.

\

Formulation 7

Single-phase mouth-spray / mouthwash

	% (w/w)
10 70 % Sorbitol	10
Sodium benzoate	0.1
Sodium saccharine	0.05
Breathanol	0.02 – 2.5
Mint oil	0.2
15 Ethanol	6
Tagat RH40 (Tzifroni)	2
Water	to 100 %

To fully exploit the invention, the mouthwash should be gargled.

-41-

Formulation 8

Mouth drops

	<u>% (w/w)</u>
CMC	3
5 70 % Sorbitol	10
Sodium benzoate	0.1
Sodium saccharine	0.05
Creamogen MZ (H&R)	0.2
Ethanol	20
10 Tagat RH40 (Tzifroni)	2.1
Breathanol	0.2 – 2.5
Mint oil	0.2
Water	to 100 %

15 In addition to liquid and semi-solid products (such as e.g., candies), the compositions of the invention can be used to coat or impregnate inert materials, such as toothpicks, dental floss and the like. Furthermore, the compositions of the invention can be administered in gaseous form, e.g., they can be evaporated from cigarettes.

20

All the above description of preferred embodiments have been provided for the purpose of illustration and are not intended to limit the invention in any

-42-

way. Many modifications can be made to the compositions and methods, without exceeding the scope of the invention.

5

10

15

20

CLAIMS

1. Use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof and taste-masking additives, as an oral anti-odor preparation.
5
2. Use according to claim 1, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-nonanol.
3. Use according to claim 1, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-decanol.
- 10 4. Use according to claim 1, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-undecanol.
5. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the taste-masking additives comprise nerol, citral and peppermint oil, or mixtures thereof.
- 15 6. An anti-odor toothpaste comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.
7. An anti-odor toothpaste according to claim 6, wherein the higher alcohol
20 is 1-nonanol.
8. An anti-odor toothpaste according to claim 6, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-decanol.

9. An anti-odor toothpaste according to claim 6, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-undecanol.
- 5 10. An anti-odor toothpaste according to any one of claims 6 to 9, wherein the taste-masking additives comprise nerol, citral and peppermint oil.
- 10 11. An anti-odor candy comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.
- 15 12. An anti-odor candy according to claim 11, wherein the candy is a chocolate candy.
13. An anti-odor candy according to claim 11, wherein the candy is a chewable candy.
- 20 14. An anti-odor candy according to claim 11, wherein the candy is in the form of chewing gum.

-45-

15. A chewing gum according to claim 14, wherein the higher alcohol and the additives are incorporated in the gum, and the chewing gum is covered by a dragé.
- 5 16. An anti-odor candy according to claim 11, wherein the candy is a slowly dissolving product.
17. An anti-odor candy according to claim 16, wherein the slowly dissolving product is a pastille.
- 10 18. An anti-odor candy according to claim 16, wherein the slowly dissolving product is a hard candy.
- 15 19. An anti-odor candy according to any one of claims 11 to 18, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-nonalol.
- 20 20. An anti-odor candy according to any one of claims 11 to 18, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-decanol.
- 20 21. An anti-odor candy according to any one of claims 11 to 18, wherein the higher alcohol is 1-undecanol.

-46-

22. An anti-odor candy according to any one of claims 11 to 21, wherein
the taste-masking additives comprise nerol, citral and peppermint oil.

23. An anti-odor mouthwash comprising a higher alcohol selected from
5 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with
taste-masking additives.

24. An anti-odor mouthwash according to claim 23, wherein the higher
alcohol is 1-nonanol.

10

25. An anti-odor mouthwash according to claim 23, wherein the higher
alcohol is 1-decanol.

15

26. An anti-odor mouthwash according to claim 23, wherein the higher
alcohol is 1-undecanol.

20

27. An anti-odor mouthwash according to any one of claims 23 to 26,
wherein the taste-masking additive comprises comprise nerol, citral and
peppermint oil.

28. An anti-odor mouthspray comprising a higher alcohol selected from
1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with
taste-masking additives.

29. An anti-odor cigarette, comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof.
- 5 30. A toothpick coated or impregnated with a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with taste-masking additives.
- 10 31. A dental floss yarn coated or impregnated with a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with taste-masking additives.
- 15 32. An antimicrobial composition comprising an antimicrobiially-effective amount of a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof in a lower alcohol or multi-phase oil-aqueous vehicle.
- 20 33. Use of a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, as an anti-odor or anti-microbial agent.
- 25 34. Use according to claim 33, wherein the higher alcohol is dissolved in a two-phase aqueous/oil system.

35. Use according to claim 33, for wound cleansing.
36. Use according to claims 33 or 34, for removing or preventing odors.
5
37. Use according to claim 36, wherein the odor to be removed or prevented is in the oral cavity.
38. A synergistic anti-microbial composition comprising a lower alcohol
10 and, as an additive, a higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonal, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof.
39. A composition according to claim 38, wherein the content of the higher alcohol is about 0.004% to 0.5%.
15
40. A composition according to claim 38 or 39 wherein the lower alcohol is selected from among ethanol, methanol and 2-propanol, or their mixtures.
- 20 41. A composition according to claim 40 wherein the lower alcohol is ethanol.

-49-

42. A composition according to claim 32, which is an anti-odor oral
anti-microbial composition.
- 5 43. A composition according to claim 32, which is a wound-cleansing
preparation, wherein the higher alcohol is dissolved in a lower alcohol
medium.
- 10 44. A method for killing microorganisms, which comprises bringing the
microorganisms to be killed into contact with a composition comprising
an antimicrobially-effective amount of a higher alcohol selected from
among 1-nonal, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof,
dissolved in a lower alcohol medium.
- 15 45. A method according to claim 44 wherein the content of the higher
alcohol is about 0.004% to 0.5%.
- 20 46. A method according to claim 44 or 45, wherein the lower alcohol is
selected from among ethanol, methanol and 2-propanol, or their
mixtures.
47. A method according to claim 46, wherein the lower alcohol is ethanol.

-50-

48. A method for increasing the anti-microbial activity of a lower alcohol,
or of a mixture of two or more lower alcohols, comprising adding to said
lower alcohol or mixture of lower alcohols, a synergistic amount of a
higher alcohol, wherein the higher alcohol is selected from among
5 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof.
49. Use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from
among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof in a
lower alcohol or multi-phase oil-aqueous vehicle, for the manufacture of
10 an antimicrobial composition.
50. Use of a composition comprising a lower alcohol, and as an additive, a
higher alcohol selected from among 1-nonanol, 1-decanol and
1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, for the manufacture of a synergistic
15 antimicrobial composition.
51. Use of a composition comprising a higher alcohol selected from
1-nonanol, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof for inhibiting
or destroying oral gram positive and gram negative bacteria.
20
52. An anti-odor composition adapted be brought into contact with the
oro-pharynx and posterior portion of the oral cavity, comprising a higher

-51-

alcohol selected from 1-nonal, 1-decanol and 1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more taste-masking additives.

53. Anti-odor paste adapted to be applied to the tongue and to the teeth,
5 comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonal, 1-decanol and
1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more
taste-masking additives.

54. Anti-odor paste adapted to be supplied to the teeth and to be gargled,
10 comprising a higher alcohol selected from 1-nonal, 1-decanol and
1-undecanol, or mixtures thereof, together with one or more
taste-masking additives.

15

20

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter
nal Application No
PCT/IL 99/00171

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
IPC 6	A01N31/02	A01N25/04	A61K7/16	A61L9/01	A23G3/00
	A23G3/30	A61C15/02	A61C15/04	A24B15/30	//(A01N31/02, 31:02)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A01N A61K A61L C11D A61C A24B A23G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 091 111 A (NEUMILLER PHILLIP J) 25 February 1992 see column 3, line 10 - line 28 see column 7, line 1 - line 14; examples 1-8 see column 11, line 9 - line 14; claims --- -/--	32-34, 38-42, 48-50

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

2 July 1999

12/07/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mueliners, W

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter nal Application No
PCT/IL 99/00171

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 28, no. 4, 20 February 1934 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 1099 3, DAVID I. MACHT & MARY E. DAVIS: "Local anesthetic properties of some aliphatic alcohols" column 1099; XP002074249 see abstract & PROCEEDINGS OF THE SOCIETY FOR EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY & MEDICINE., vol. 30, 1933, pages 1294-5, NEW YORK US --- EP 0 129 778 A (LION CORP) 2 January 1985 --- see page 2, line 1 - line 5; examples 2,14,16 --- PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 12, no. 96 (C-484), 29 March 1988 & JP 62 230712 A (NICHIBAI BOEKI KK), 9 October 1987 see abstract --- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 109, no. 10, 5 September 1988 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 79000, MIYAZAKI, TAKASHI ET AL: "Deodorant preparation" XP002074282 see abstract & JP 63 068169 A (NOK CORP., JAPAN) --- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 83, no. 18, 3 November 1975 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 152407, SATO, TORAO: "Deodorant and disinfectant" XP002074283 see abstract & JP 50 088236 A (HYODO, AKIO, JAPAN) --- EP 0 208 403 A (DIVERSEY CORP) 14 January 1987 see page 1 - page 2, line 2; examples 1,2 see page 7, line 19 - line 25 --- -/--	32, 38-43, 48-50 --- 32, 38-43, 48-50 --- 33,36, 37,51 --- 33,37 --- 33,37 --- 33

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IL 99/00171

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>HERMAN GERSHON & LARRY SHANKS: "Antifungal Properties of n-Alkanols, alpha,omega-n-Alkanediols, and omega-Chloro-alpha alkanols" JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES., vol. 69, no. 4, April 1980, pages 381-4, XP002074319 WASHINGTON US cited in the application see the whole document ---</p>	33
X	<p>CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 93, no. 17, 27 October 1980 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 161851, KATO, NOBUYKI ET AL: "The antimicrobial characteristics of 1- alkanols" XP002074350 see abstract & BOKIN BOBAI (1980), 8(8), 325-31 CODEN: BOBODP;ISSN: 0385-5201,1980, cited in the application ---</p>	33
X	<p>CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 89, no. 11, 11 September 1978 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 85228, YASUDA-YASAKI, YOKO ET AL: "Inhibition of germination of Bacillus subtilis spores by alcohols" XP002074351 see abstract & SPORES (1978), 7, 113-16 CODEN: SPORAI;ISSN: 0584-9144,1978, cited in the application ---</p>	33
A	WO 97 13495 A (WARNER LAMBERT CO) 17 April 1997	1-54
Y	<p>see page 1 - page 6, line 12 see page 2, lines 10-11; page 3, lines 14-20; page 4, lines 2-8; page 5, lines 4-7; page 10, lines 6-10 and page 14, lines 13-29 see page 8, line 29 - page 9, line 2 see page 16, line 10 - line 17 ---</p>	52-54
A	<p>US 4 340 628 A (GILBERTSON JOHN R ET AL) 20 July 1982 see column 1 - column 3, line 33 ---</p>	1-54
	-/--	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internatinal Application No
PCT/IL 99/00171

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 8413 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class B05, AN 84-078371 XP002107993 & JP 59 029619 A (HASEGAWA CO LTD) , 16 February 1984 see abstract ---- US 5 016 655 A (WADDELL WILLIAM J ET AL) 21 May 1991 see column 1, line 18 - line 22 see column 6, line 19 - column 7, line 39 see column 15, line 4 - line 17 see column 21, line 37 - line 62 see column 23, line 6 - line 27 see column 27, line 65 - column 28, line 12; table VIII see column 29, line 63 - column 30, line 9; claims 1,4,8,10-12,26-28,38 ---- DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 9334 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D22, AN 93-269079 XP002108101 & JP 05 184649 A (MORISHITA JINTAN KK) , 27 July 1993 see abstract ---- WO 96 37183 A (WARNER LAMBERT CO) 28 November 1996 see page 18, line 18 - line 35; claims see page 17, line 26 - line 33 see page 11, line 19 - page 12 see page 9, line 21 - line 28 see page 7, line 21 - page 8, line 30 see page 6, line 24 - page 7, line 2 see page 1 - page 5, line 14 ----	33,36, 37,51 52-54 28,29,52 1-31,33, 34, 36-42, 52-54 1-28,30, 31,52-54
A		
A		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

 International Application No
PCT/IL 99/00171

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5091111	A	25-02-1992	AT 138969 T AU 641289 B AU 8645991 A CA 2111122 A,C DE 69120087 D DE 69120087 T EP 0553121 A ES 2088018 T JP 6501201 T PT 99004 A,B WO 9205229 A US 5145604 A		15-06-1996 16-09-1993 15-04-1992 02-04-1992 11-07-1996 23-01-1997 04-08-1993 01-08-1996 10-02-1994 31-07-1992 02-04-1992 08-09-1992
EP 0129778	A	02-01-1985	JP 1603175 C JP 60004113 A JP 63041364 B AT 66808 T CA 1234355 A CA 1244772 A DE 3484997 A US 5096697 A		29-03-1991 10-01-1985 17-08-1988 15-09-1991 22-03-1988 15-11-1988 10-10-1991 17-03-1992
EP 0208403	A	14-01-1987	AT 49694 T		15-02-1990
WO 9713495	A	17-04-1997	AU 7263196 A BG 102383 A BR 9605564 A CA 2232640 A CZ 9801023 A EP 0854702 A HU 9802533 A NO 981637 A NZ 319974 A PL 326163 A		30-04-1997 30-11-1998 18-08-1998 17-04-1997 11-11-1998 29-07-1998 29-03-1999 02-06-1998 28-01-1999 31-08-1998
US 4340628	A	20-07-1982	US 4209533 A US 4372978 A		24-06-1980 08-02-1983
US 5016655	A	21-05-1991	US 4967772 A US 4966169 A AU 3055989 A WO 8906912 A		06-11-1990 30-10-1990 25-08-1989 10-08-1989
WO 9637183	A	28-11-1996	AU 5668896 A		11-12-1996